

University of Wollongong

Research Online

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences - Papers: Part B

Faculty of Engineering and Information
Sciences

2020

Gait asymmetry and variability in older adults during long-distance walking: Implications for gait instability

Duo Wong

Wing Lam

Winson Lee

University of Wollongong, ccwlee@uow.edu.au

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers1>



Part of the [Engineering Commons](#), and the [Science and Technology Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Wong, Duo; Lam, Wing; and Lee, Winson, "Gait asymmetry and variability in older adults during long-distance walking: Implications for gait instability" (2020). *Faculty of Engineering and Information Sciences - Papers: Part B*. 3451.

<https://ro.uow.edu.au/eispapers1/3451>

Research Online is the open access institutional repository for the University of Wollongong. For further information contact the UOW Library: research-pubs@uow.edu.au

Gait asymmetry and variability in older adults during long-distance walking: Implications for gait instability

Abstract

2019 Background: Physical exercise, such as walking, is imperative to older adults. However, long-distance walking may increase walking instability which exposes them to some fall risks. Objective: To evaluate the influence of long-distance walking on gait asymmetry and variability of older adults. Method: Sixteen physically active older adults were instructed to walk on a treadmill for a total of 60 min. Gait experiments were conducted over-ground at the baseline (before treadmill-walk), after first 30 min (30-min) and second 30 min (60-min) of the walk. In addition to spatiotemporal parameters, median absolute deviation of the joint angular velocity was measured to evaluate gait asymmetry and gait variability. Findings: There were significant differences in the overall asymmetry index among the three time instances (Partial $\eta^2 = 0.77$, $p < .05$), predominantly contributed by the ankle (Partial $\eta^2 = 0.31$, $p < .017$). Long-distance walking significantly increased the average and maximum median absolute deviation of the ankle at both sides ($W \geq 0.19$, $p < .05$), and knee at the non-dominant side ($W = 0.44$, $p < .05$). Interpretation. At 30-min, the older adults demonstrated a significantly higher asymmetry and variability at the ankle, which implied higher instability. Continue walking for an additional 30 min (60-min) further increased variability of the non-dominant limb at the knee joint. Walking for 30 min or more could significantly reduce walking stability.

Disciplines

Engineering | Science and Technology Studies

Publication Details

Wong, D., Lam, W. & Lee, C. (2020). Gait asymmetry and variability in older adults during long-distance walking: Implications for gait instability. *Clinical Biomechanics*, 72 37-43.

Gait Asymmetry and Variability in Older Adults during Long-distance Walking: Implications for Gait Instability

[Clin Biomech \(Bristol, Avon\)](#). 2019;72:37-43

Duo Wai-Chi Wong¹, Wing-Kai Lam^{2,3,4}, Winson Chiu-Chun Lee^{5,*}

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

²Department of Kinesiology, Shenyang Sport University, Shenyang, China

³Guangdong Provincial Engineering Technology Research Center for Sports Assistive Devices, Guangzhou Sport University, Guangzhou, China

⁴Li Ning Sports Science Research Center, Li Ning (China) Sports Goods Company, Beijing, China

⁵School of Mechanical, Materials, Mechatronic and Biomedical Engineering, University of Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia

Correspondence: Dr. Winson Chiu-Chun Lee

School of Mechanical, Materials, Mechatronic and Biomedical Engineering, University of Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia

Email: Winson_lee@uow.edu.au

World Count: Main-text: 2739, Abstract: 234

24 **Abstract**

25 *Background:* Physical exercise, such as walking, is imperative to older adults.
26 However, long-distance walking may also increase walking instability which
27 exposes them to some fall risks.

28 *Objective:* To evaluate the influence of long-distance walking on gait asymmetry
29 and variability of older adults.

30 *Method:* Sixteen physically active older adults were instructed to walk on a
31 treadmill for a total of 60 minutes. Gait experiments were conducted over-ground
32 at the baseline (before treadmill-walk), after first 30-minute (30-min) and second
33 30-minute (60-min) of the walk. In addition to spatiotemporal parameters, median
34 absolute deviation of the joint angular velocity were measured to evaluate gait
35 asymmetry and gait variability.

36 *Findings:* There were significant differences in the overall asymmetry index among
37 the three time instances (Partial $\eta^2 = 0.77$, $p < 0.05$), predominantly contributed by
38 the ankle (Partial $\eta^2 = 0.31$, $p < 0.017$). Long-distance walking significantly altered
39 the average and maximum median absolute deviation of the ankle at both sides
40 ($W \geq 0.19$, $p < 0.05$), and knee at the non-dominant side ($W = 0.44$, $p < 0.05$).

41 *Interpretation:* At 30-min, the older adults demonstrated a significantly higher
42 asymmetry and variability at the ankle, which implied higher instability. Continue
43 walking for an additional 30 minutes (60-min) further increased variability of the

44 non-dominant limb at the knee joint. Walking for 30 minutes or more could induce
45 significant reduction in walking stability.

46

47 **Keywords:** Prolonged walking; prolonged exercises; fatigue; kinematics;

48

1. Introduction

The advantages of physical exercises in older adults are well recognized (Lim and Taylor, 2005). However, more than half of the older adults reported that they did not have sufficient physical exercises (Lim and Taylor, 2005). Walking is regarded as one of the most accessible types of exercises for older adults, which could occur through activities of daily living without any equipment (Chastin et al., 2019). Older adults are recommended to walk 10,000 steps per day for improved health (Duncan et al., 2014). However, a majority of healthy older adults can only walk 3,000 step a day which barely reach the target (Tudor-Locke and Bassett Jr, 2004). Blindly pushing older adults to achieve a generalized walking goal may do more harm than good. Older adults gradually decrease their muscle strength by 1.5% and 3.0% yearly after the age of 50 and 60, respectively (Van Kan, 2009). Muscle weakening and fatigue reduce walking stability, inducing higher chance of fall to older adults when they try to achieve some walking goals (Helbostad et al., 2010)

While some studies have successfully linked responses to perturbation to risk of falling (Bohm et al., 2015), some other studies have used gait variability which is often measured in laboratory settings to evaluate walking stability and predict risk of falling as reviewed in Hamacher et al., 2011. High inter-limb asymmetry and gait variability could reflect some impaired motor control leading to errors in foot

71 placement (Maki, 1997). Stride-to-stride and step-to-step variability of discrete gait
72 variables, including step width and step stance, were found to be correlated with
73 falls risk among active community dwelling older adults (Paterson et al., 2011).
74 Variability of body sway has also been identified as a predictor of fall and
75 imbalance (Greene et al., 2012; Jansen et al., 2014). Meanwhile, some studies
76 focused on a continuous analysis of the variability of knee and hip joint angles and
77 angular velocities throughout the stance phase, and identified the relationships
78 among functional impairment, muscle fatigue, and poor coordination (Cortes et al.,
79 2014; Fallah-Yakhdani et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2014). Increased gait asymmetry
80 generally reflects poor coordination that disturbs gait stability increasing falls risk
81 (Hausdorff, 2007).

82
83 There is abundant of research studying the gait stability of older adults as reviewed
84 in Hamacher et al., 2011. For example, the comparison between younger and older
85 adults, as well as fallers and non-fallers, provided some understandings on the
86 decline of walking functions and instability upon aging (Beauchet et al., 2009).
87 However, there is limited research on the study of the changes in gait stability
88 during prolonged physical exercises, such as long-distance walking. Such
89 research is warranted as it allows better understanding of the walking limit of older
90 adults. It may also aid in better design of physical training and rehabilitation regime
91 given that physical training can improve motor control and improve gait stability
92 (Donath et al., 2016).

The main objective of this study is to investigate the effects of long-distance walking on variability and inter-limb symmetry of joint angular velocities. We hypothesize that gait variability and inter-limb asymmetry increase after some instances of long-distance walking. This study would shed some light on the physical limit of the older adults in walking.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

Twenty older adults aged over 65 were recruited in this study with convenient sampling. There were four participants dropped out from the study. Three of them stopped the experiment due to physical exhaustion. Another participant was unable to arrange another required gait test. The sample size of 16 produced statistical power of 0.75, assuming medium effect size and a level of significance of 5%. The participants were independent to walk without use of walking aids. Exclusion criteria included cardiovascular, pulmonary diseases, cancer, uncontrolled hypertension, diabetes, lower-limb pain or deformities. Participants were excluded if they had a history of fall in the past 12 months. The 16 participants (11 males, 5 females) had a mean age of 70 (SD: 5.0). Their mean height and mass were 163.5 cm (SD: 7.3) and 63.3 kg (SD: 9.2), respectively.

This experiment was approved by the institutional review board of the university (Ref. No.: HSEARS20151016007). All participants signed an informed consent statement after receiving the oral and written descriptions of the experimental procedure prior to the start of the experiment.

2.2 Equipment and Procedure

The participants were asked to walk on a treadmill at their self-selected comfortable speed for two consecutive 30-minute walking sessions. Gait assessments were conducted at three time conditions: prior to the walking session (baseline), after the first 30-minute walking session (30-min), and the second 30-minute walking session (60-min). Before the gait assessments, the participants were given a 2-minute familiarization time to adapt environment changes from treadmill to over-ground walking.

Gait assessments were conducted over-ground in a 10-m gait laboratory equipped with the motion capture system (Vicon, Oxford Metrics Ltd., Oxford, UK) and force plates, which was sampled at 200 Hz and 1000 Hz, respectively. Twenty-four infrared reflective markers were placed over both limbs at the anterior and superior iliac spines and crests, greater trochanters, middle of thighs and shanks anteriorly, medial and lateral femoral condyles and malleoli, foot dorsum, and heels (Winter, 1991).

A trial with both left and right clean footfalls on separate force plates, which were located at the center of the runway, was regarded as a successful trial. The ground reaction force data were used to define the start and end of the gait cycle for subsequent analyses. Five successful trials were collected and only the second to the fourth trials were extracted to minimize irregularities due to gait initialization and termination (Wong et al., 2015). The angular velocities of the ankle, knee and hip joints at the dominant and non-dominant limbs in the sagittal plane were

extracted from the Vicon Nexus Software and subsequently processed in Visual 3D (C-Motion Inc., USA) using a 4th order Butterworth low-pass filter with cutoff frequency of 6 Hz. Spatiotemporal parameters, including walking speed, step length and stance time of the dominant and non-dominant sides were also extracted. The dominant limb was determined by asking the participants the side of the limb they would use to kick a ball (Chapman et al., 1987).

2.3 Data Management

The time-series of the data were normalized to 101 time-points that represented 0 to 100% gait cycle (Bruijn et al., 2013). Symmetry index (SI), which was calculated by the percentage ratio of the data difference to the data mean, was used to quantify the symmetry between dominant and non-dominant limbs. The average SI was calculated by averaging all SIs across the time-series.

Median Absolute Deviation (MAD) is a commonly used variability index which is defined as the median of the deviations from the data median and was calculated using the three successful trials for each of the time conditions (baseline, 30-min, and 60-min) (Bruijn et al., 2013). The maximum MAD and mean MAD (i.e., averaging all MADs across the time-series) were extracted for analysis (Wong et al., 2015).

2.4 Statistical Analysis

MAD and spatiotemporal parameters, including walking speed, step length and stance time of the dominant and non-dominant sides were examined using the non-parametric Friedman test to compare among the baseline, 30-min, and 60-

min conditions since some parameters violated the assumption of normality. Significance level (α) was set at $p = 0.05$. Post-hoc analyses with Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were conducted with a Bonferroni correction at $p < 0.017$.

Regarding SI, a one-way multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with repeated measures was conducted to determine the effect of walking time (baseline, 30-min, and 60-min) on the SIs of the ankle, knee, and hip joints. The outcome of the MANOVA essentially combines these dependent variables in the analysis such that we named it as the overall SI. Significance level (α) was set at $p = 0.05$. Univariate and post-hoc analysis with Bonferroni correction would be subsequently conducted when significance was revealed.

3. Results

3.1 Spatiotemporal Parameters

As shown in Table 1, there were no significant differences in walking speed, step length of both limbs and stance time of the non-dominant side among the three time conditions. The stance time of the dominant side significantly decreased with time ($\chi^2(2) = 19.27$, $p < 0.05$). Post-hoc test showed that all pairwise comparisons demonstrated statistical differences (baseline vs 30-min: $Z = -3.44$; 30-min vs 60-min: $Z = -2.59$; baseline vs 60-min: $Z = -3.46$, $p < 0.017$).

3.2 Symmetry Index

Pre-hoc test using the Shapiro-Wilk test revealed that all the data were normally distributed ($p > 0.05$); and Pre-hoc test using Pearson correlation revealed that there was no multi-collinearity ($0.2 > r > 0.6$); there were linear relationships among the asymmetry data of the three joints, as observed on the scatterplots.

MANOVA indicated that the significant difference among the time conditions in the overall SI, $F(6, 10) = 5.47$, $p < 0.05$; Wilks' $\Lambda = 0.23$; partial $\eta^2 = 0.77$ (Table 2). Follow-up univariate test showed that statistically significance only appeared at the ankle angular velocity, $F(1.31, 19.61) = 6.58$, $p < 0.017$; partial $\eta^2 = 0.31$). Post-hoc analysis revealed that the SI of the ankle was significantly increased after the first 30-minute walking session, 11.88, $p < 0.017$ (95% CI, 4.87 to 18.89), as shown in Figure 1.

3.3 Maximum and Average MAD

In Figure 2, there were statistically significant differences in the average MAD of the ankle joint at both non-dominant ($\chi^2(2) = 6.13$, $W = 0.19$, $p < 0.05$) and dominant limbs ($\chi^2(2) = 10.13$, $W = 0.32$, $p < 0.05$), and the knee joint at the non-dominant limb ($\chi^2(2) = 14.00$, $W = 0.44$, $p < 0.05$) among the three time conditions. Post-hoc analysis on the ankle joint at the non-dominant limb showed no significance among the pairwise comparisons, despite that significance was demonstrated ($\chi^2(2) = 6.125$, $p = 0.047$). For the ankle joint of the dominant limb, there was a statistically significant increase in 30-min compared with the baseline ($Z = -3.52$, $r = 0.88$, $p < 0.001$). Similarly, there were a significant increase in the

non-dominant sided knee joint after the first 30-minute walking session($Z = -3.52$,
 $r = 0.88$, $p < 0.001$).

In Figure 3, there were statistically significant differences in the maximum MAD of
the ankle joint at both non-dominant ($\chi^2(2) = 8.38$, $W = 0.26$, $p < 0.05$) and
dominant limbs ($\chi^2(2) = 6.50$, $W = 0.20$, $p < 0.05$), the knee joint at the non-
dominant limb ($\chi^2(2) = 11.38$, $W = 0.36$, $p < 0.05$), and the hip joint at the dominant
limb ($\chi^2(2) = 9.13$, $p < 0.05$). Despite overall significance determined in the ankle
and hip joints, there were no significance differences among the time points for
both limbs in the post-hoc comparison tests. On the other hand, the knee joint at
the non-dominant side showed significant increases at 30-min ($Z = -2.48$, $r = 0.62$,
 $p = 0.013$) and 60-min ($Z = -2.59$, $r = 0.65$, $p = 0.010$), when compared to the
baseline condition.

4. Discussion

The present study showed that after 30 minutes of walking the participants
significantly increased 1) asymmetry between limbs at the ankle joint, 2) variability
of the ankle joint at the dominant limb, and 3) variability of the knee joint at the
non-dominant limb. The increased variability at the ankle joint aligned with the
findings of previous studies, which indicated that plantarflexors of the dominant
limb were more vulnerable to fatigue (Yeung et al., 2012) and that long-distance
walking significantly reduced dominant-sided ankle power during push-off phase
of the gait (Elhadi et al., 2016). This study also found that stance time of the
dominant side was significantly reduced after both 30 and 60 minutes of walking,

which could be induced by the altered kinematics of the ankle. Meanwhile, the significantly higher variability of the non-dominant sided knee joint after 30 minutes of walk could be explained by a previous study (Elhadi et al., 2016), which showed that the knee joint at the non-dominant side appeared to compensate for the fatigued plantarflexors at the dominant side.

Although statistically insignificant, there was a trend that while the symmetry of the ankle and hip joints reduced after 30 minutes, they slightly improved after 60 minutes. This aligned with a previous study which suggested that the asymmetry and variability improved with further physical exercises and attributed this to the ability of using a compensatory walking mechanism attempting to restore postural balance (Wong et al., 2015). However, there are questions regarding when exactly and how this compensatory walking mechanism is triggered by older adults. Our finding was contrary to the study conducted by Hamacher et al.(2016) which suggested that based on self-reported submaximal exhaustion levels, physical exhaustion increased dynamic stability in young people only but not in older adults. . More investigations regarding the relationship between age and the compensatory mechanism are needed.

Both within-subject and between-subject variations, as demonstrated by the MADs and data outliers, were not small in our study. Walking stability depends on individual fitness, musculoskeletal conditions, cognition, neural control and reflex(Krasovsky et al., 2012). In the present study, all our participants were able to walk independently without any diseases which may affect walking. However, the variations among participants still existed as some participants had a less

stable gait in the baseline. Three participants were dropped out in the study due to exhaustion. This could be one limitation of this study as this study potentially excluded some potential participants which might be at risk of falling. While a universal method to classify the frailty of elderly was lacking (Paw et al., 2001), a larger population study enabling the categorization of subject groups according to their level of baseline performance of physical or functional activities is warranted. The association between the baseline performance and intrinsic factors, such as physical fitness and cognitive level, should also be addressed.

The long-distance walking was mostly facilitated by a treadmill as it allows for indoor monitoring and cross-study comparison. It should be noted that treadmill walking might produce different walking patterns compared with over-ground walking, although some studies suggested that treadmill walking was very similar to over-ground walking in terms of kinematics and kinetics (Watt et al., 2010). To minimize the adaptation effect between treadmill and over-ground walking, the participants were given sufficient time to customize the walking mode from the treadmill (i.e., walking intervention) to over-ground (i.e., gait experiment) in the present study. Further studies can consider the use of instrumented treadmills (i.e. with embedded force platform) to assess the variability continuously without the need of changing between treadmill and over-ground walking modes.

Future studies can apply continuous assessment methods, such as the maximum Floquet multiplier (Bruijn et al., 2013), and establish a cut-off value to identify the instants of possible fatigue, in addition to some feedback questionnaires, such as the Borg perceived exertion scale (Garnacho-Castaño et al., 2018) and fatigue

assessment scale (Marcellis et al., 2015). Alternatively, wearable biofeedback devices can also provide continuous assessment and stimulus and thus enhance sensory input (Ma et al., 2015; Wan et al., 2016). Some investigations are required to investigate if these devices can reduce gait variability and asymmetry, and potentially reduce the risk of falls.

5. Conclusion

After 30 minutes of walking (30-min), the older adults demonstrated a higher inter-limb SI, which was predominantly contributed by the ankle joint. Their joint motion was less stable at the knee of the non-dominant side and the ankle of the dominant side, as indicated by the average MAD of the joint angular velocities. Continue walking for an additional 30 minutes (60-min) further deteriorate the stability of the non-dominant limb at the knee joint, as demonstrated by the maximum MAD.

Conversely, despite statistical significance not achieved, SI and MAD of the ankle and hip joints deteriorated after 30 minutes of walking and improved after 60 minutes of walking, which could be due to an alleged compensatory walking response to maintain balance in gait.

6. Acknowledgement

The work was supported by The Health and Medical Research Fund (HMRF) of Hong Kong, China (11122231) and Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission (Z181100009218005).

- Ambrose, A.F., Paul, G., Hausdorff, J.M., 2013. Risk factors for falls among older adults: a review of the literature. *Maturitas* 75, 51-61.
- Beauchet, O., Allali, G., Annweiler, C., Bridenbaugh, S., Assal, F., Kressig, R.W., Herrmann, F.R., 2009. Gait variability among healthy adults: low and high stride-to-stride variability are both a reflection of gait stability. *Gerontology* 55, 702-706.
- Bohm, S., Mademli, L., Mersmann, F., Arampatzis, A., 2015. Predictive and reactive locomotor adaptability in healthy elderly: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Medicine* 45, 1759-1777.
- Bruijn, S., Meijer, O., Beek, P., Van Dieën, J., 2013. Assessing the stability of human locomotion: a review of current measures. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 10, 20120999.
- Chapman, J.P., Chapman, L.J., Allen, J.J., 1987. The measurement of foot preference. *Neuropsychologia* 25, 579-584.
- Chastin, S.F., De Craemer, M., De Cocker, K., Powell, L., Van Cauwenberg, J., Dall, P., Hamer, M., Stamatakis, E., 2019. How does light-intensity physical activity associate with adult cardiometabolic health and mortality? Systematic review with meta-analysis of experimental and observational studies. *Br J Sports Med* 53, 370-376.
- Cortes, N., Onate, J., Morrison, S., 2014. Differential effects of fatigue on movement variability. *Gait & Posture* 39, 888-893.
- Donath, L., van Dieën, J., Faude, O., 2016. Exercise-based fall prevention in the elderly: what about agility? *Sports Medicine* 46, 143-149.
- Duncan, M.J., Kline, C.E., Vandelanotte, C., Sargent, C., Rogers, N.L., Di Milia, L., 2014. Cross-sectional associations between multiple lifestyle behaviors and health-related quality of life in the 10,000 Steps cohort. *PloS one* 9, e94184.
- Elhadi, M.M., Ma, C.Z., Wong, D.W., Wan, A.H., Lee, W.C., 2016. Comprehensive Gait Analysis of Healthy Older Adults Who Have Undergone Long-Distance Walking. *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity*.
- Fallah-Yakhdani, H.R., Abbasi-Bafghi, H., Meijer, O.G., Bruijn, S.M., van den Dikkenberg, N., Benedetti, M.-G., van Dieën, J.H., 2012. Determinants of co-contraction during walking before and after arthroplasty for knee osteoarthritis. *Clinical Biomechanics* 27, 485-494.
- Garnacho-Castaño, M.V., Domínguez, R., González, A.M., Feliu-Ruano, R., Serra-Payá, N., Maté-Muñoz, J.L., 2018. Exercise prescription using the Borg rating of perceived exertion to improve fitness. *International journal of sports medicine* 39, 115-123.
- Greene, B.R., McGrath, D., Walsh, L., Doheny, E.P., McKeown, D., Garattini, C., Cunningham, C., Crosby, L., Caulfield, B., Kenny, R.A., 2012. Quantitative falls risk estimation through multi-sensor assessment of standing balance. *Physiological Measurement* 33, 2049-2063.
- Hamacher, D., Singh, N., Van Dieen, J., Heller, M., Taylor, W., 2011. Kinematic measures for assessing gait stability in elderly individuals: a systematic review. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface* 8, 1682-1698.

333 Hamacher, D., Törpel, A., Hamacher, D., Schega, L., 2016. The effect of physical
 334 exhaustion on gait stability in young and older individuals. *Gait & Posture* 48, 137-
 335 139.
 336 Hausdorff, J.M., 2007. Gait dynamics, fractals and falls: finding meaning in the
 337 stride-to-stride fluctuations of human walking. *Human Movement Science* 26, 555-
 338 589.
 339 Helbostad, J.L., Sturnieks, D.L., Menant, J., Delbaere, K., Lord, S.R., Pijnappels,
 340 M., 2010. Consequences of lower extremity and trunk muscle fatigue on balance
 341 and functional tasks in older people: a systematic literature review. *BMC geriatrics*
 342 10, 56.
 343 Jansen, K., De Groote, F., Duysens, J., Jonkers, I., 2014. How gravity and muscle
 344 action control mediolateral center of mass excursion during slow walking: A
 345 simulation study. *Gait & Posture* 39, 91-97.
 346 Krasovsky, T., Baniña, M.C., Hacmon, R., Feldman, A.G., Lamontagne, A., Levin,
 347 M.F., 2012. Stability of gait and interlimb coordination in older adults. *Journal of*
 348 *neurophysiology* 107, 2560-2569.
 349 Lim, K., Taylor, L., 2005. Factors associated with physical activity among older
 350 people—a population-based study. *Preventive medicine* 40, 33-40.
 351 Ma, C.Z.-H., Wan, A.H.-P., Wong, D.W.-C., Zheng, Y.-P., Lee, W.C.-C., 2015. A
 352 vibrotactile and plantar force measurement-based biofeedback system: Paving the
 353 way towards wearable balance-improving devices. *Sensors* 15, 31709-31722.
 354 Maki, B.E., 1997. Gait changes in older adults: predictors of falls or indicators of
 355 fear? *Journal of the American geriatrics society* 45, 313-320.
 356 Marcellis, R., van der Veeke, M., Mesters, I., Drent, M., de Bie, R., de Vries, G.,
 357 Lenssen, A., 2015. Does physical training reduce fatigue in sarcoidosis?
 358 *Sarcoidosis vasculitis and diffuse lung disease* 32, 53-62.
 359 Paterson, K., Hill, K., Lythgo, N., 2011. Stride dynamics, gait variability and
 360 prospective falls risk in active community dwelling older women. *Gait & Posture* 33,
 361 251-255.
 362 Paw, M.J.C.A., de Jong, N., Schouten, E.G., Hiddink, G.J., Kok, F.J., 2001.
 363 Physical exercise and/or enriched foods for functional improvement in frail,
 364 independently living elderly: a randomized controlled trial. *Archives of physical*
 365 *medicine and rehabilitation* 82, 811-817.
 366 Sergi, G., Sarti, S., Mosele, M., Ruggiero, E., Imoscopi, A., Miotto, F., Bolzetta, F.,
 367 Inelmen, E.M., Manzato, E., Coin, A., 2011. Changes in healthy elderly women's
 368 physical performance: a 3-year follow-up. *Experimental gerontology* 46, 929-933.
 369 Smith, J.W., Christensen, J.C., Marcus, R.L., LaStayo, P.C., 2014. Muscle force
 370 and movement variability before and after total knee arthroplasty: A review. *World*
 371 *J Orthop* 5, 69-79.
 372 Tan, M.P., Kamaruzzaman, S.B., Zakaria, M.I., Chin, A.V., Poi, P.J.H., 2015. Ten-
 373 year mortality in older patients attending the emergency department after a fall.
 374 *Geriatrics & gerontology international*.
 375 Tudor-Locke, C., Bassett Jr, D.R., 2004. How many steps/day are enough? *Sports*
 376 *Medicine* 34, 1-8.
 377 Van Kan, G.A., 2009. Epidemiology and consequences of sarcopenia. *JNHA-The*
 378 *Journal of Nutrition, Health and Aging* 13, 708-712.

Wan, A.H., Wong, D.W., Ma, C.Z., Zhang, M., Lee, W.C., 2016. Wearable vibrotactile biofeedback device allowing identification of different floor conditions for lower-limb amputees. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation.

Watt, J.R., Franz, J.R., Jackson, K., Dicharry, J., Riley, P.O., Kerrigan, D.C., 2010. A three-dimensional kinematic and kinetic comparison of overground and treadmill walking in healthy elderly subjects. Clinical Biomechanics 25, 444-449.

Winter, D.A., 1991. Biomechanics and motor control of human gait: normal, elderly and pathological.

Wong, D.W.-C., Lam, W.K., Yeung, L., Lee, W.C., 2015. Does long-distance walking improve or deteriorate walking stability of transtibial amputees? Clinical Biomechanics 30, 867-873.

Yeung, L., Leung, A.K., Zhang, M., Lee, W.C., 2012. Long-distance walking effects on trans-tibial amputees compensatory gait patterns and implications on prosthetic designs and training. Gait & Posture 35, 328-333.

Figures Legends

Figure 1. The SI of the ankle, knee, and hip angular velocities under baseline, 30-min (30m) and 60-min (60m). Bracket denotes statistically significance in the post hoc analysis with Bonferroni correction at $p < 0.017$.

Figure 2. Average MAD of the ankle, knee, and hip joints between the non-dominant and dominant limbs under baseline, 30-min (30m) and 60-min (60m). Significance levels and Chi-square refer to Friedman test comparing average MAD among time points. \circ denotes outliers; * denotes outliers of extreme values; bracket denotes statistically significance in the post hoc analysis with Wilcoxon signed-rank test and Bonferroni correction at $p < 0.017$.

Figure 3. Maximum MAD of the ankle, knee, and hip joints between the non-dominant and dominant limbs under baseline, 30-min (30m) and 60-min (60m). Significance levels and Chi-square refer to Friedman test comparing maximum MAD among time points. \circ denotes outliers; * denotes outliers of extreme values; bracket denotes statistically significance in the post hoc analysis with Wilcoxon signed-rank test and Bonferroni correction at $p < 0.017$.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

Table 1 Effect of walking time (baseline, 30-min and 60-min) on the spatio-temporal parameters, including walking speed, step length and stance time of the dominant and non-dominant limbs.

		Baseline	30-min	60-min
Walking Speed (m/s)		1.13 (0.13)	1.13 (0.15)	1.16 (0.17)
	Dominant	0.64 (0.07)	0.64 (0.09)	0.65 (0.08)
Step Length (m)	Non-dominant	0.66(0.07)	0.66 (0.09)	0.62 (0.07)
	Dominant*	0.64 (0.04)	0.60 (0.03)	0.57 (0.05)
Stance Time (s)	Non-dominant	0.63 (0.05)	0.63 (0.04)	0.65 (0.06)

Data are represented as mean (standard deviation). *Statistical difference ($P < 0.05$) demonstrated in the stance time of the dominant side using Friedman test, and all pairwise comparison using Wilcoxon signed-rank test demonstrated statistical difference ($P < 0.017$).

Table 2 Effect of walking time (baseline, 30-min and 60-min) on the SI of the angular velocities of the ankle, knee and hip joints.

Dependent Variable	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	Partial η^2	<i>P</i>
Combined SI	6	5.47	0.77	0.010*
SI of Ankle	1.31	6.58	0.31	0.013 [†]
SI of Knee	2	0.06	0.00	0.940
SI of Hip	1.34	0.68	0.04	0.462

Significance level of the combined effect refers to one-way MANOVA repeated measures among the time conditions, and that of the SIs refer to the follow-up univariate tests. * $P < 0.05$; [†] $P < 0.017$.